

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.041

EFFECT OF BIO-FERTILIZERS ON ROOT GROWTH OF DRAGON FRUIT CUTTINGS [HYLOCEREUS UNDATUS L. (HAWORTH) BRITTON & ROSE]

Mahandra Kumar Dhanka, R. S. Verma^{*}, Anup Baitha, Shikha Choudhary, Saurabh Verma and Bipin Kumar

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural sciences and Technology, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Vidya-Vihar,Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow 226025 (U.P) India *Corresponding author E-mail: ravihort.009@gmail.com (Date of Receiving : 11-07-2024; Date of Acceptance : 21-09-2024)

ABSTRACT The present investigation was carried out on the effect of bio-fertilizers on root growth of dragon fruit cutting (*Hylocereus undatus* L.) during the year 2022-23 at the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Vidya Vihar Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow U.P. (India). The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with 09 treatments combination for root growth parameter of dragon fruit cuttings with one factor and three replications under open field condition. Treatment combination has showed the significant difference. Among the different treatment combination used media containing of sand + soil and FYM enriched with bio-fertilizers combination of Azotobacter + PSB (Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria) has recorded minimum days taken to root initiation and maximum root length.

Keywords: Bio-fertilizers, root growth, dragon fruit cuttings, growth parameters.

Introduction

Dragon fruit (Hylocereus undatus) (Haworth Britton & Rose) is a cactus, belonging to family cactaceae. Recently, dragon fruit introduced as super fruit in India, is considered to be a promising and remunerative fruit crop. It is a long day plant with beautiful night-blooming flowers that is nicknamed "Noble Woman" or "Queen of the Night". The fruit is also known as strawberry, pear, dragon fruit, pitahaya, night blooming cereus, Belle of the Night, Cinderella plant, and Jesus in the Cradle. The fruit is named Pitaya because of the bracts or scales on the fruit skin hence, the name pitaya means "the scaly fruit". The fruit has a very attractive colour and mellow mouthmelting pulp with a black colour edible seed embedded in the pulp along with tremendous nutritive properties which attract growers from different parts of India to cultivate this fruit crop which is native to tropical and subtropical forest regions of Mexico and central South America (Mizrahi et al., 1996). It is a nutritious fruit with a variety of uses. The fruit pulp can be eaten fresh

and can be made into various valuable processed products. The fruit possesses medicinal properties. It is known to prevent colon cancer and diabetes, neutralizes toxic substances such as heavy metals, reduce cholesterol and high blood pressure. It is also reported to control high sugar levels. It is rich in vitamin C, phosphorous and calcium which help to develop strong bones, teeth and skin. The fruit is considered a 'health fruit'. Betalains have a great potential in colouring a broad array of food. In this view, betacyanins from red coloured dragon fruit are most promising, not only as colouring agents but also in possessing antiradical potential. It is considered as a fruit cropfor future. Hence, widely favoured (Gunasena and Pushpakumara, 2005 and Gunasena et al., 2005). Dragon fruit was introduced in 1990for its commercial cultivation in South Asian tropical countries. At present, significant production and expansion of fruit are occurring in many countries viz., Australia, Cambodia, China, Columbia, Ecuador, Guatemala, Hawaii, Indonesia, Israel, Japan, Laos, Malaysia,

Mexico, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Peru, Philippines, Spain, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, Thailand, South Western USA and Vietnam (Wu and Chen, 1997). The plants propagated through stem cuttings starts flowering within 12 to 18 months after planting. Growingmedia is the important factor for the plants that give anchorage to the plants and provide essential nutrients required by the plants. The growing media enriched with biofertilizers possess the advantages like more availability of nutrients in the available forms through natural process like nitrogen fixing, phosphorus solubilizing and stimulate plant growth through the synthesis of growth promoting substances. They build up soil microflora and there by maintains soil health. So, the present investigation was carried out to study the effect of bio-fertilizers and their interaction on root growth of dragon fruit cuttings.

Material and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Vidya Vihar Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow U.P. (India) during the year 2022-23 to study the effect of bio-fertilizers on root growth of dragon fruit (Hylocereus undatus L.) cuttings under open field conditions. The experiment field was situated at 26'55' North latitude and 80'59'longitude and the elevation was 123 meter above mean sea level (MSL). The present investigation was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with 09 treatments combination replicated thrice and number of cuttings in each replication are two. Thus, there were total 54 plants. Rooted cuttings of three year old plant were collected from progressive farmer Shri Ram Sharan Verma at Rasoolpur in Sultanpur and planted directly in the field . The rooting media prepared by mixing of sand, soil and FYM with 2:1:1 ratio. The various treatment combination of bio- fertilizers were as follows: [T1- Control, T2- Azotobacter (1%), T3-PSB (1%), T4- Azotobacter (2%), T5- PSB (2%), T6-Azotobacter (1%) + PSB (1%), T7- Azotobacter (1%) + PSB(2%), T8- Azotobacter (2%) + PSB(1%) and T9-Azotobacter (2%) + PSB(2%)] respectively. The observation on days taken to root initiation, average number of roots per cutting, root length, fresh weight, dry weight and root diameter recorded at 30, 60 and 90 DAP. The data recorded from the present studies were subjected to analysis by using standard method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

Result and Discussion

Length of the longest root (cm)

Significant difference between treatments with respect to length of longest root was observed. The maximum length of longest root (4.31 cm, 8.99 cm and 20.31 cm) was observed in Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%) and the minimum length of longest root was observed in control (2.14 cm, 5.18 cm, and 12.14 cm respectively) at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting. The cuttings treated with Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%) initiate the formation of longest roots per cuttings could be due to rapid hydrolysis of starch stored in thecuttings into physiologically active sugars, which provide energy through respiratory activity to the root primordia and helps in rapid elongation of the meristematic cells there by initiate the longest roots per cutting. Similar results were reported by P. Venkata Siva Prasad et al. (2022) in dragon fruit, Porghorban et al. (2014) in Olive, Rahad et al. (2016) in dragon fruit.

Average number of roots per rooted cutting (cm)

In the present study, application of different biofertilizers significantly influenced the maximum number of roots per cutting at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting. Untreated cuttings showed minimum number of roots in all stages of growth. The induction of maximum number of roots in the treated cuttings may be due to cambial activity involved in root initiation was stimulated by growth regulators as seen in many species (Ullah *et al.* 2005).

It was observed that the cuttings treated with Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%) showed a greater number of roots per cutting (10.29, 13.25 and 39.69 respectively) at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting compared to control (3.15, 5.45, and 17.24 respectively). This mightbe due to the presence of the reserved food materials present in the cuttings. Initial internal sugar concentration and their metabolism are important during the early period of rooting process (Denaxa *et al.*, 2001).

Root diameter (mm)

Diameter of the root varied significantly among different treatments with different bio-fertilizers. The highest mean diameter (0.44 mm, 0.78 mm, and 1.42 mm) was observed in the cuttings treated with Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%) and the lowest (0.11 mm, 0.25 mm and 0.85 mm) at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting respectively was observed in control. This result corroborates the findings of Bhalerao *et al.* (2009).

Fresh weight of the root (g)

Significant differences were observed between the treatments. The maximum fresh weight of roots was recorded with the cuttings treated with Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%). It could be attributed to the rapid hydrolysis of polysaccharides stored in the cuttings into physiologically active sugars by activation of hydrolytic enzymes. These sugars provide energy for the meristematic tissue through respiratory activity leads to initiate a greater number of adventitious roots as well as longest roots per cutting which helps in early establishment of cuttings and an increase in root fresh weight per cutting. The present findings are also in conformity with the results of Porghorban *et al.* (2014) in Olive, Rahad *et al.* (2016) in dragon fruit.

Dry weight of the root (g)

Significant differences were seen between the treated and untreated stem cuttings of dragon fruit. The bio-fertilizers increased root length and fresh weight of roots, resulting in increased dry weight of roots. This might be due to the fact that, bio-fertilizers stimulates the initiation of lateral and adventitious roots because of bio-fertilizers are increased nutrient availability in the rooting system. The maximum dry weight of the root was recorded in cuttings treated with Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%). Bio-fertilizers increase the number of roots resulting in higher accumulation of fresh and dry matter of roots. The results are in agreement with the earlier findings of Kaur *et al.* (2015) in Grape, Devi *et al.* (2009) in Lemon.

| Root growth attributing characters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| S. No. | Treatment | Length of longest root (cm) | | | Average no. of roots per cuttings | | | Root diameter (mm) | | | Fresh weight (g) | | | Dry weight (g) | | |
| | | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP |
| T1 | Control | 2.14 | 5.18 | 12.14 | 3.15 | 5.45 | 17.24 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.85 | 0.13 | 0.99 | 1.42 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.33 |
| T2 | Azotobacter (1%) | 2.45 | 7.12 | 15.76 | 5.45 | 8.25 | 19.36 | 0.25 | 0.65 | 1.15 | 0.21 | 1.55 | 1.54 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.37 |
| T3 | PSB (1%) | 2.31 | 6.45 | 15.64 | 7.12 | 7.46 | 18.46 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 1.12 | 0.19 | 1.45 | 1.49 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.35 |
| T4 | Azotobacter (2%) | 3.45 | 5.45 | 14.19 | 5.12 | 9.14 | 21.22 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 1.30 | 0.27 | 1.59 | 1.67 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.38 |
| T5 | PSB (2%) | 3.34 | 6.25 | 16.52 | 6.15 | 11.36 | 26.74 | 0.28 | 0.56 | 1.28 | 0.29 | 1.63 | 1.82 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.42 |
| T6 | Azotobacter (1%) + PSB (1%) | 3.58 | 5.31 | 16.75 | 7.25 | 10.05 | 29.14 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 1.33 | 0.28 | 1.75 | 1.93 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.46 |
| T7 | Azotobacter (1%) + PSB (2%) | 3.25 | 8.15 | 19.16 | 8.95 | 12.78 | 36.28 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 1.38 | 0.40 | 1.79 | 1.99 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.51 |
| T8 | Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%) | 4.31 | 8.99 | 20.31 | 10.29 | 13.25 | 39.69 | 0.44 | 0.78 | 1.42 | 0.42 | 1.83 | 2.18 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.57 |
| Т9 | Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (2%) | 4.24 | 8.25 | 19.68 | 9.56 | 11.99 | 37.64 | 0.42 | 0.75 | 1.39 | 0.39 | 1.81 | 2.14 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.54 |
| S.Em (±) | | 0.063 | 0.096 | 0.252 | 0.207 | 0.118 | 0.235 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.028 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.006 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 0.190 | 0.289 | 0.761 | 0.146 | 0.358 | 0.712 | 0.011 | 0.031 | 0.06 | 0.012 | 0.030 | 0.084 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.019 |

Conclusion

It may be concluded that among the different treatments of bio-fertilizers either single or in combination have great potential to accelerate rooting in stem cuttings of dragon fruit. Among all the treatment, Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (1%) gave better results with respect to rooting parameters followed by

the treatment, Azotobacter (2%) + PSB (2%). Based on the findings of current investigation, it is recommended that vegetative method of propagation through stem cuttings in dragon fruit treated with bio-fertilizers is reliable forcommercial production of planting materials and it is quick and economical method of vegetative propagation. Effect of bio-fertilizers on root growth of dragon fruit cuttings [*Hylocereus undatus* L. (haworth) britton & rose]



Plate 1: Pictures of Research Trail

References

- Bhalerao, V.P., N.M. Patil, C.D. Badgujar and D.R. Patil (2009). Studies on integrated nutrient management for tissue cultured Grand Naine banana. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43(2): 107-112.
- Chen, J.H. (2006). The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizers and/or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. In International workshop on sustained management of the soilrhizosphere system for efficient crop production and fertilizer use. 16(20): 1-11). Land Development Department Bangkok Thailand.
- Kaur, S., Kumar, S., Bhat, Z.F., & Kumar, A. (2015). Effect of pomegranate seed powder, grape seed extract and tomato powder on the quality characteristics of chicken nuggets. *Nutrition &*

Food Science.

- Mizrahi, Y., and A. Nerd (1996). "New crops as a possible solution for the troubled Israeli export market." 37-45.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatame, P.V. (1967). Statistical Methods for Agriculture Workers, p 381, edn 2. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Porghorban, M., Moghadam, E.G. and Asgharzadeh, A. (2014). Effect of media and indole butyric acid (IBA) concentrations on rooting of Russian olive (*Elaeagnus angustifolia* L) semi-hardwood cuttings. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences*, 4, 517-523.
- Prasad, P.V.S., Krishna, V.S., Venkata, K.T., Ramana, D.G., Padmaja, V.V. and Kadiri, L. (2022). Studies on combining effect of media and

biofertilizers on survival, rooting and establishment of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus costaricensis* L.) cuttings under protected and open field conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **11**(9), 659-663.

- Pushpakumara, D.K.N.G., Gunasena, H.P.M. and Karyawasam, M. (2005). Flowering and fruiting phenology, pollination vectors and breeding system of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus spp.*). Sri Lankan Journal of Agricultural Science, **42**, 81-91.
- Rahad, M.K., Islam, M.A., Rahim, M.A. and Monira, S. (2016). Effects of rooting media and varieties on rooting performance of dragon fruit cuttings (*Hylocereu sundatus* Haw.). Research in

Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries, **3**(1), 67-77.

- Rana H, Sharma K, Negi M. (2020) Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on plant growth, yield and quality of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology* and Applied Sciences, 9(4), 2064-2070.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1967). "Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers," 2nd Edition, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Verma, R.S., Lata, R., Ram, R.B., Verma, S.S., Prakash, S. (2019) Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on vegetative characters of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus* L.) plant. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 8(6):726-728.